Strange nuclear physics from QCD on lattice

Takashi Inoue @Nihon Univ. for HAL QCD Collaboration

S. Aoki T. Doi T. Hatsuda Y. Ikeda T. I. N. Ishii K. Murano H. Nemura K. Sasaki F. Etminan T. Miyamoto T. Iritani S. Gongyo

D. Kawai

Univ. Birjand YITP Kyoto Univ. RIKEN NIshina Univ. of Tours YITP Kyoto Univ.

Univ. Tsukuba

YITP Kyoto Univ.

YITP Kyoto Univ.

RIKEN Nishina

RIKEN Nishina

Nihon Univ.

RCNP Osaka Univ.

RCNP Osaka Univ.

RCNP Osaka Univ.

Hadrons to Atomic nuclei

QMBP, Danang, Mar 9 2017

* Nuclear physics

- Theories have been developed extensively from 1930's
 - Liquid-drop model and semi-empirical mass formula.
 - Shell models supported by mean-field and Brueckner theory.
 - Variational methods w/ advanced technique for light nuclei.
 - Several sophisticated theories for heavy nuclei in these days,
 - eg. the coupled cluster theory, self consistent greens function method etc.
- Properties of nuclei are explained and even predicted.

* Nuclear physics

- Theories have been developed extensively from 1930's
 - Liquid-drop model and semi-empirical mass formula.
 - Shell models supported by mean-field and Brueckner theory.
 - Variational methods w/ advanced technique for light nuclei.
 - Several sophisticated theories for heavy nuclei in these days,
 - eg. the coupled cluster theory, self consistent greens function method etc.
- Properties of nuclei are explained and even predicted.
- But, we need a lot of input data from experiment.

* Nuclear physics

- Theories have been developed extensively from 1930's
 - Liquid-drop model and semi-empirical mass formula.
 - Shell models supported by mean-field and Brueckner theory.
 - Variational methods w/ advanced technique for light nuclei.
 - Several sophisticated theories for heavy nuclei in these days,
 - eg. the coupled cluster theory, self consistent greens function method etc.
- Properties of nuclei are explained and even predicted.
- But, we need a lot of input data from experiment.
- * Quantum Chromodynamics

• is the fundamental theory of the strong interaction,

• must explain everything, e.g. hadron spectrum, mass of nuclei.

* Nuclear physics

- Theories have been developed extensively from 1930's
 - Liquid-drop model and semi-empirical mass formula.
 - Shell models supported by mean-field and Brueckner theory.
 - Variational methods w/ advanced technique for light nuclei.
 - Several sophisticated theories for heavy nuclei in these days,
 - eg. the coupled cluster theory, self consistent greens function method etc.
- Properties of nuclei are explained and even predicted.
- But, we need a lot of input data from experiment.
- * Quantum Chromodynamics

• is the fundamental theory of the strong interaction,

- must explain everything, e.g. hadron spectrum, mass of nuclei.
- But, that is difficult due to the non-perturbative nature of QCD.

Lattice QCD

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a + \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} (i \partial_{\mu} - g t^a A^a_{\mu}) q - m \bar{q} q$$



{ U_i } : ensemble of gauge conf. U generated w/ probability det $D(U) e^{-S_U(U)}$

Well defined (reguralized)
 Manifest gauge invariance

Fully non-perturvativeHighly predictive

Lattice QCD

- LQCD simulations w/ the physical quark ware done.
 - PACS-CS, Phys. Rev. D81 (2010) 074503
 - BMW, JHEP 1108 (2011) 148



Summary by Kronfeld, arXive 1203.1204

Mass of (ground state) hadrons are well reproduced!

Lattice QCD

- LQCD simulations w/ the physical quark ware done.
 - PACS-CS, Phys. Rev. D81 (2010) 074503
 - BMW, JHEP 1108 (2011) 148



Summary by Kronfeld, arXive 1203.1204

- Mass of (ground state) hadrons are well reproduced!
- What about (hyper-)nuclei or matter from QCD?





Most traditional. Many success.



Very popular today. Let's say chiral approach.



Very challenging. Let's call LQCD direct approach.

HAL QCD approach



Our approach. I focus on this one in this talk.

- Good points
 - Based on the fundamental theory QCD, hence provide information independent of experiments and models.
 - Feasible. \leftrightarrow Direct one must be very difficult for large nuclei.
 - Can utilize established nuclear theories at the 2nd stage.
 - Easy to extend to strange sector, charm sector etc.

- Good points
 - Based on the fundamental theory QCD, hence provide information independent of experiments and models.
 - Feasible. \leftrightarrow Direct one must be very difficult for large nuclei.
 - Can utilize established nuclear theories at the 2nd stage.
 - Easy to extend to strange sector, charm sector etc.
- Disappointing points
 - 1. Demand long time and huge money at the 1^{st} stage.
 - We had to deal with un-physical QCD world before.
 - Un-realistically heavy u,d quark, far from chiral symmetry.
 - 2. Depend on method/approximation used at the 2nd stage.

- Good points
 - Based on the fundamental theory QCD, hence provide information independent of experiments and models.
 - Feasible. ↔ Direct one must be very difficult for large nuclei.
 - Can utilize established nuclear theories at the 2nd stage.
 - Easy to extend to strange sector, charm sector etc.
- Disappointing points
 - 1. Demand long time and huge money at the 1st stage.
 - We had to deal with un-physical QCD world before. Getting solved.
 - Un-realistically heavy u,d quark, far from chiral symmetry.
 - 2. Depend on method/approximation used at the 2nd stage.

We can improve step by step.

- Good points
 - Based on the fundamental theory QCD, hence provide information independent of experiments and models.
 - Feasible. \leftrightarrow Direct one must be very difficult for large nuclei.
 - Can utilize established nuclear theories at the 2nd stage.
 - Easy to extend to strange sector, charm sector etc.
- Disappointing points
 - 1. Demand long time and huge money at the 1st stage.
 - We had to deal with un-physical QCD world before. Getting solved.
 - Un-realistically heavy u,d quark, far from chiral symmetry.
 - 2. Depend on method/approximation used at the 2nd stage.

We can improve step by step.

- Today, in this talk, I want to show
 - results of HALQCD approach to strange nuclear physics and want to demonstrate that our approach is promising.

Outline

- 1. Our approach and method
 - Introduction
 - HAL QCD method
 - Hyperon interactions from QCD
- 2. Application to strange nuclear physics
 - Hyperon single-particle potentials
 - Hyperon onset in high density matter
- 3. Summary and outlook

HAL QCD method

- Direct : utilize energy eigenstates (eigenvalues)
 - Lüscher's finite volume method for phase-shifts
 - Infinite volume extrapolation for bound states
- HAL : utilize spatial correlation and "potential" V(r) + ...

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - 2M_B \qquad \psi(\vec{r},t): 4\text{-point function}$$

contains NBS w.f.

- Advantages
 - No need to separate E eigenstate. Just need to measure
 - Then, potential can be extracted.
 - Demand a minimal lattice volume.
 No need to extrapolate to V=∞.
 - Can output many observables.

- Direct : utilize energy eigenstates (eigenvalues)
 - Lüscher's finite volume method for phase-shifts
 - Infinite volume extrapolation for bound states
- HAL : utilize spatial correlation and "potential" V(r) + ...

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - 2M_B$$

 $\psi(\vec{r},t)$: 4-point function contains NBS w.f.

- Advantages
 - No need to separate E eigenstate. Just need to measure $\psi(\vec{r},t)$
 - Then, potential can be extracted.
 - Demand a minimal lattice volume.
 No need to extrapolate to V=∞.
 - Can output many observables.



- Direct : utilize energy eigenstates (eigenvalues)
 - Lüscher's finite volume method for phase-shifts
 - Infinite volume extrapolation for bound states
- HAL : utilize spatial correlation and "potential" V(r) + ...

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - 2M_B \qquad \psi(\vec{r},t): 4\text{-point function}$$

contains NBS w.f.

- Advantages
 - No need to separate E eigenstate. Just need to measure $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$
 - Then, potential can be extracted.
 - Demand a minimal lattice volume. No need to extrapolate to $V=\infty$.
 - Can output many observables.



4-point function

- Direct : utilize energy eigenstates (eigenvalues)
 - Lüscher's finite volume method for phase-shifts
 - Infinite volume extrapolation for bound states
- HAL : utilize spatial correlation and "potential" V(r) + ...

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - 2M_B \qquad \psi(\vec{r},t)$$

- Advantages
 - No need to separate E eigenstate. Just need to measure $\psi(\vec{r},t)$
 - Then, potential can be extracted.
 - Demand a minimal lattice volume.
 No need to extrapolate to V=∞.
 - Can output many observables.



: 4-point function

contains NBS w.f.

- Direct : utilize energy eigenstates (eigenvalues)
 - Lüscher's finite volume method for phase-shifts
 - Infinite volume extrapolation for bound states
- HAL : utilize spatial correlation and "potential" V(r) + ...

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - 2M_B \qquad \psi(\vec{r},t): 4\text{-point function}$$

contains NBS w.f.

- Advantages
 - No need to separate E eigenstate. Just need to measure $\psi(\vec{r},t)$
 - Then, potential can be extracted.
 - Demand a minimal lattice volume.
 No need to extrapolate to V=∞.
 - Can output more observables.



- Direct : utilize energy eigenstates (eigenvalues)
 - Lüscher's finite volume method for phase-shifts
 - Infinite volume extrapolation for bound states
- HAL : utilize spatial correlation and "potential" V(r) + ...

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - 2M_B \qquad \psi(\vec{r},t): 4\text{-point function}$$

contains NBS w.f.

- Advantages
 - No need to separate E eigenstate. Just need to measure $\psi(\vec{r},t)$
 - Then, potential can be extracted.
 - Demand a minimal lattice volume.
 No need to extrapolate to V=∞.
 - Can output more observables.
- ★ We can attack nuclei and matter too!!



HAL method

S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda, N. Ishii, Prog. Theo. Phys. 123 89 (2010) N. Ishii etal. [HAL QCD coll.] Phys. Lett. B712 , 437 (2012)

NBS wave function $\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|B_i(\vec{x}+\vec{r},t)B_j(\vec{x},t)|B=2,\vec{k}\rangle$

Define a common potential U for all E eigenstates by a "Schrödinger" eq.

$$\left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu}\right]\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) + \int d^3\vec{r}' U(\vec{r},\vec{r}')\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}') = E_{\vec{k}}\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$$

Non-local but energy independent below inelastic threshold

Measure 4-point function in LQCD

$$\psi(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|B_i(\vec{x}+\vec{r},t)B_j(\vec{x},t)J(t_0)|0\rangle = \sum_{\vec{k}} A_{\vec{k}}\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})e^{-W_{\vec{k}}(t-t_0)} + \cdots$$

$$\left[2M_B - \frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu}\right]\psi(\vec{r},t) + \int d^3\vec{r}'U(\vec{r},\vec{r}')\psi(\vec{r}',t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{r},t)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \nabla \text{ expansion} \\ \& \text{ truncation} \end{array} \quad U(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') = \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}\,')V(\vec{r}\,,\nabla) = \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}\,')[V(\vec{r}\,) + \nabla + \nabla^2 ...] \end{array}$

Therefor, in the leading

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r},t)}{\psi(\vec{r},t)} - 2M_B$$
²⁷

Source and sink operator

- NBS wave function and 4-point function $\begin{aligned} & \phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|B_i(\vec{x}+\vec{r},t)B_j(\vec{x},t)|B=2,\vec{k} \rangle_{\text{QCD eigenstate}} \\ & \psi(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|B_i(\vec{x}+\vec{r},t)B_j(\vec{x},t) J(t_0)|0 \rangle = \sum_{\vec{k}} A_{\vec{k}} \phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})e^{-W_{\vec{k}}(t-t_0)} + \cdots \\ & \frac{\text{sink}}{\text{source}} \end{aligned}$
- Point type octet baryon field operator at sink

$$p_{\alpha}(\underline{x}) = \epsilon_{c_1 c_2 c_3} (C \gamma_5)_{\beta_1 \beta_2} \delta_{\beta_3 \alpha} u(\xi_1) d(\xi_2) u(\xi_3) \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_i = \{c_i, \beta_i, \underline{x}\}$$
$$\Lambda_{\alpha}(x) = -\epsilon_{c_1 c_2 c_3} (C \gamma_5)_{\beta_1 \beta_2} \delta_{\beta_3 \alpha} \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \left[d(\xi_1) s(\xi_2) u(\xi_3) + s(\xi_1) u(\xi_2) d(\xi_3) - 2u(\xi_1) d(\xi_2) s(\xi_3) \right]$$

• Wall type source of two-baryon state

e.g.
$$\overline{BB}^{(1)} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{8}} \overline{\Lambda} \overline{\Lambda} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \overline{\Sigma} \overline{\Sigma} + \sqrt{\frac{4}{8}} \overline{N} \overline{\Xi}$$
 for flavor-singlet

1. Does your potential depend on the choice of source?

2. Does your potential depend on choice of operator at sink?

3. Does your potential U(r,r') or V(r) depends on energy?

FAQ

- 1. Does your potential depend on the choice of source?
- No. Some sources may enhance excited states in 4-point func. However, it is no longer a problem in our new method.
- 2. Does your potential depend on choice of operator at sink?
- → Yes. It can be regarded as the "scheme" to define a potential. Note that a potential itself is not physical observable. We will obtain unique result for physical observables irrespective to the choice, as long as the potential U(r,r') is deduced exactly.

FAQ

3. Does your potential U(r,r') or V(r) depends on energy?

→ By definition, U(r,r') is non-local but energy independent.
 While, determination and validity of its leading term V(r)
 depend on energy because of the truncation.

However, we know that the dependence in *NN* case is very small (thanks to our choice of sink operator = point) and negligible at least at *Elab.* = 0 - 90 MeV. We rely on this in our study.

If we find some dependence, we will determine the next leading term of the expansion from the dependence.

Hyperon interaction from QCD

LQCD simulation setup

- Nf = 2+1 full QCD
 - Clover fermion + Iwasaki gauge w/ stout smearing
 - Volume $96^4 \simeq (8 \text{ fm})^4$
 - 1/a = 2333 MeV, a = 0.0845 fm
 - $\label{eq:main_states} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{M}_{\pi}\simeq 146,\, M_{K}\simeq 525 \; \mbox{MeV} \\ \mbox{M}_{N}\simeq 956,\, M_{\Lambda}\simeq 1121,\, M_{\Sigma}\simeq 1201,\,\, \mbox{M}_{\Xi}\simeq 1328 \; \mbox{MeV} \end{array}$
 - Collaboration in HPCI Strategic Program Field 5 Project 1
- Measurement
 - 4pt correlators: 52 channels in 2-octet-baryon (+ others)
 - Wall source w/ Coulomb gauge fixing
 - Dirichlet temporal BC to avoid the wrap around artifact
 - #stat = 414 confs \times 4 rot \times 28 src.

Not final. We are still increasing #stat.

K-configuration

almost physical point





r [fm]

YY,YN S=-2



- There are many particle-base potentials. #≈100 in S-wave.
- For application, we need to parameterize potential data.
- It is tough to parameterize all needed potential data.
- So, today, for the moment, I use potential data rotated into the irreducible-representation base.

 $8 \times 8 = \underline{27 + 8s + 1} + \underline{10^* + 10 + 8a}$



Analitic function fitted to data

$$V(r) = a_1 e^{-a_2 r^2} + a_3 e^{-a_4 r^2} + a_5 \left[\left(1 - e^{-a_6 r^2} \right) \frac{e^{-a_7 r}}{r} \right]^2$$
37



• Analitic function fitted to data

$$V(r) = a_1 \left(1 - e^{-a_2 r^2}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{3}{a_3 r} + \frac{3}{(a_3 r)^2}\right) \frac{e^{-a_3 r}}{r} + a_4 \left(1 - e^{-a_5 r^2}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{3}{a_6 r} + \frac{3}{(a_6 r)^2}\right) \frac{e^{-a_6 r}}{r}$$

- Since SU(3)_F is broken at the physical point (K-conf.), there are irre.-rep. base off-diagonal potentials.
- But, I omit them and constract V_{YN} , V_{YY} with these irre.-rep. diagonal potentials and the C.G. coefficient.

LQCD ΛΝ-ΣΝ

From K-conf. but rotated from the irr.-rep. base diagonal potentials.



- In I=1/2, ${}^{1}S_{0}$ channel, ΛN has an attraction, while ΣN is repulsive.
- In I=1/2, ${}^{3}S_{1}$ channel, both ΛN and ΣN have an attraction. $\leftrightarrow \frac{No attraction}{in Nijmegen}$
- In I=1/2, strong tensor coupling in flavor off-diagonal.

LQCD EN-YY

From K-conf. but rotated from the irr.-rep. base diagonal potentials.



- Many experimentally unknown coupled-channel potentials.
- One can see predictive power of the HALQCD method.



Analitic function fitted to data

$$V(r) = a_1 e^{-a_2 r^2} + a_3 e^{-a_4 r^2} + a_5 \left[\left(1 - e^{-a_6 r^2} \right) \frac{e^{-a_7 r}}{r} \right]^2$$

$$41$$

.



- Nothing but a nuclear force in the SU(3)_F limit.
- They are qualitatively reasonable.
 - repulive core, attractive pocket 42 and stron tensor



• Can be understood by the quark pauli effect.



Can be understood by the quark pauli effect.

Part 2. Application to strange nuclear physics





- * Hyperon is a serious subject in physics of NS.
 - Does hyperon appear inside neutron star core?
 - How EoS of NS mater can be so stiff with hyperon cf. PSR J1614-2230 1.97±0.04 M_{\odot}
 - Tough problem due to ambiguity of hyperon forces
 - comes form difficulty of hyperon scattering experiment.

In my talk I focus on hadron phase

- However, nowadays, we can study or predict hadron-hadron interactions from QCD.
 - measure h-h NBS w.f. in lattice QCD simulation. HALQCD
 - define & extract interaction "potential" from the w.f. applapch

- However, nowadays, we can study or predict hadron-hadron interactions from QCD.
 - measure h-h NBS w.f. in lattice QCD simulation. HALQCD
 - define & extract interaction "potential" from the w.f. applapch
- Today, we study hyperons in nuclear medium by basing on YN YY interactions predicted from QCD.
 - We calculate hyperon single-particle potential $U_Y(k;\rho)$
 - defined by $e_Y(k;\rho) = \frac{k^2}{2M_Y} + U_Y(k;\rho)$ $e_Y(k;\rho)$: sepectrum in medium
 - U_Y is crucial for hyperon chemical potential.

- However, nowadays, we can study or predict hadron-hadron interactions from QCD.
 - measure h-h NBS w.f. in lattice QCD simulation. HALQCD
 - define & extract interaction "potential" from the w.f. applapch
- Today, we study hyperons in nuclear medium by basing on YN YY interactions predicted from QCD.
 - We calculate hyperon single-particle potential $U_Y(k;\rho)$
 - defined by $e_Y(k;\rho) = \frac{k^2}{2M_V} + \frac{U_Y(k;\rho)}{U_Y(k;\rho)}$ $e_Y(k;\rho)$: sepectrum in medium
 - U_Y is crucial for hyperon chemical potential.
- Hypernuclear experiment suggest that $(a) = 0.17 [fm^{-3}]$ $U_{\underline{\Lambda}}^{\text{Exp}}(0) \simeq -30$, $U_{\underline{\Xi}}^{\text{Exp}}(0) \simeq -10$, $U_{\underline{\Sigma}}^{\text{Exp}}(0) \ge +20$ [MeV] attraction (attraction small) repulsion

Brueckner-Hartree-Fock LOBT

M.I. Haftel and F. Tabakin, Nucl. Phys. A158(1970) 1-42

• Ground state energy in BHF framework

- Single particle spectrum & potential

$$U(k) = \sum_{i} \sum_{k' \leq k_{F}} \operatorname{Re} \langle k k' | G_{i}(e(k) + e(k')) | k k' \rangle_{A}$$

- p.w. decomposition & truncation ${}^{2S+1}L_J = {}^{1}S_0$, ${}^{3}S_1$, ${}^{3}D_1$, ${}^{1}P_1$, ${}^{3}P_J \cdots {}_{50}$
- Continuous choice w/ effective mass approx. Angle averaged Q-operator

Brueckner-Hartree-Fock LOBT

• Hyperon single-particle potential

M. Baldo, G.F. Burgio, H.-J. Schulze, Phys. Rev. C58, 3688 (1998)

• YN G-matrix using $V_{S=-1}^{LQCD}$, $M_{N,Y}^{Phys}$, $U_{n,p}^{AV18,BHF}$ and, U_{Y}^{LQCD}

$$Q=0 \begin{pmatrix} G_{(\Lambda n)(\Lambda n)}^{SLJ} & G_{(\Lambda n)(\Sigma^{0}n)} & G_{(\Lambda n)(\Sigma^{0}p)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{0}n)(\Lambda n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}n)(\Sigma^{0}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}n)(\Sigma^{0}p)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{1}p)(\Lambda n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{1}p)(\Sigma^{0}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{1}p)(\Sigma^{1}p)} \end{pmatrix} \qquad Q=+1 \begin{pmatrix} G_{(\Lambda p)(\Lambda p)}^{SLJ} & G_{(\Lambda p)(\Sigma^{0}p)} & G_{(\Lambda p)(\Sigma^{1}n)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{0}p)(\Lambda p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}p)(\Sigma^{0}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}p)(\Sigma^{1}n)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{1}n)(\Lambda p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{1}n)(\Sigma^{1}n)} & Q=+2 \quad G_{(\Sigma^{1}p)(\Sigma^{1}p)}^{SLJ}$$

Brueckner-Hartree-Fock

• Hyperon single-particle potential

• \equiv N G-matrix using $V_{S=-2}^{LQCD}$, $M_{N,Y}^{Phys}$, $U_{n,p}^{AV18}$, $U_{\Lambda,\Sigma}^{LQCD}$ and, U_{Ξ}^{LQCD}

Flavor symmetric ¹S₀ sectors

$$Q=0 \quad \begin{cases} G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Xi^{o}n)}^{SLJ} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Xi^{c}p)} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Sigma^{o}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Xi^{0}n)} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(X^{0}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Xi^{0}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(X^{0}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})(\Xi^{0}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})(X^{0}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Xi^{0}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)(X^{0}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Lambda\Lambda)(\Xi^{0}n)} & G_{(\Lambda\Lambda)(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Lambda\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} & G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Lambda^{0}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Xi^{0}n)} & G_{(X^{0})(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(X^{0})(\Sigma^{0}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)} & G_{(X^{0}\Lambda)(\Lambda^{0}\Lambda)} \\ Q=+1 \begin{pmatrix} G_{(\Xi^{0}p)(\Xi^{0}p)} & G_{(\Xi^{0}p)(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Xi^{0}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)} \end{pmatrix} & Q=-1 \begin{pmatrix} G_{(\Sigma^{0}n)(\Xi^{-}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{0}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{-}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)} \end{pmatrix} & 52 \end{pmatrix}$$

Brueckner-Hartree-Fock

• $\Xi N \text{ G-matrix using } V_{S=-2}^{LQCD}, M_{N,Y}^{Phys}, U_{n,p}^{AV18}, U_{\Lambda,\Sigma}^{LQCD} \text{ and, } U_{\Xi}^{LQCD}$ Flavor anti-symmetric ³S₁, ³D₁ sectors

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Q=0} \\ & \begin{array}{c} G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Xi^{o}n)}^{SLJ} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Xi^{o}n)(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)} \\ & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Xi^{o}n)} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Xi^{-}p)(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)} \\ & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Xi^{o}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)} \\ & G_{(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)(\Xi^{o}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)(\Xi^{-}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{-})} & G_{(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{o}\Lambda)} \end{array}$$

Q=+1

$$\begin{array}{c} G_{(\Xi^{o}p)(\Xi^{o}p)}^{SLJ} & G_{(\Xi^{o}p)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Xi^{o}p)(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{0})(\Xi^{o}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{0})(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{0})(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Xi^{o}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)} \end{array} \right) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Xi^{o}p)} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{+}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Xi^{-}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{-}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)} \\ G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Xi^{-}n)} & G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{-}\Sigma^{0})} & G_{(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda)} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

Results

Hyperon single-particle potentials



obtained by using YN,YY forces form QCD.

Hyperon single-particle potentials



- obtained by using YN,YY forces form QCD.
- Results are compatible with experimental suggestion. $U_{\Lambda}^{\text{Exp}}(0) \simeq -30$, $U_{\Xi}(0)^{\text{Exp}} \simeq -10$, $U_{\Sigma}^{\text{Exp}}(0) \ge +20$ [MeV] attraction attraction small repulsion

Hyperon single-particle potentials



obtained by using YN,YY forces form QCD.

Remarkable. Encouraging.

Results are compatible with experimental suggestion.

$$\begin{array}{ll} U^{\mathsf{Exp}}_{\Lambda}(0)\simeq -30\,, \quad U_{\Xi}(0)^{\mathsf{Exp}}\simeq -10\,, \quad U^{\mathsf{Exp}}_{\Sigma}(0)\geq +20 \quad \text{[MeV]}\\ \text{attraction} & \text{attraction small} & \text{repulsion} \end{array}$$

In high density PNM



Chemical potentials



- Density dependence of chemical pot. of *n* and *Y* in PNM. $\mu_n(\rho) = \frac{k_F^2}{2M} + U_n(\rho; k_F), \quad \mu_Y(\rho) = M_Y - M_N + U_Y(\rho; 0)$
- Hyperon appear as $n \rightarrow Y^0$ if $\mu_n > \mu_{Y^0}$

 $nn \rightarrow pY$ if $2\mu_n > \mu_p + \mu_{Y}$

59

Hyperon onset (just for a demonstration)



• First, Σ^- appear at 2.9 ρ_0 . Next, Λ appear at 3.3 ρ_0 .

- NS matter is not PNM especially at high density.
- We should compare with more sophisticated μ_n and μ_p .
- P-wave YN force may be important at high density.

Summary and Outlook

Summary and Outlook

- * We've explained our goal and approach
 - Want to do (strange) nuclear physics starting from QCD.
 - Extract *BB* interaction potentials in lattice QCD simulation.
 - Apply potentials to few-body technique or many-body theory.
 - Unique way to do nuclear physics based on QCD, I think.
- * We've introduced HALQCD method
 - Utilize 4-pt function containing information of the interaction.
 - This is a unique solution to the serious plateau crisis in the direct LQCD method for multi-hadron system.
- We've shown HALQCD BB potentials
 - We obtain QCD prediction of hyperon interactions.
 - We obtain (qualitatively) reasonable two-nucleon force.
 - We reveal nature of general BB S-wave interactions
 - which prove that quark cluster model prediction is correct.

Summary and Outlook

Resuls of application

- We calculated hyperon s.p. potentials w/ the prediction.
 - This time, I used rotated data diagonal in the irre.-rep. base.
- We obtained $U_{\rm Y}$ compatible with experiment!
 - In SNM, Λ and Ξ feel attracsion, while Σ feels repulsion.
- This is remarkable success, at least encouraging.
 - Recall that we've never used any experimental data about hepron interactions, but we used only QCD.

Outlook

- We'll use original data w/ the physical $SU(3)_{F}$ breaking.
- We'll estimate statistical error & systematic uncertainty. and try to reduce them.
- We'll include hyperon interactions in higher p. w.
- We will be able to explain data of hypernuclei from QCD and hope we can reveal hyperons in NS, in near future.

Super-computer

63

Thank you !!



• "NSM" is matter w/ n, p, e, μ under β -eq and Q=0.